



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/818,708	03/27/2001	Richard Adelson	ADELSON-1	9915
7590 05/25/2004 Arthur L. Plevy, Esq. Duane, Morris & Heckscher, LLP 100 College Road West, Suite 100			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, CINDY	
			A DITUDUIT	D4 DED \
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Princeton, NJ 08540			2171	
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004	17

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

fr

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/818,708	ADELSON ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Cindy Nguyen	2171				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
THE I - External after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro c, cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
3tatus 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 /	April 2004					
لطارا [2a]	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	is action is non-final.					
•=	<i>,</i> —		prosecution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	ion of Claims						
•	4) Claim(s) 1-5,7-23 and 25-40 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7-23, 25-40</u> is/are rejected.							
,—	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
, —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/cion Papers	r election requirement.					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 March 2001</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) ⚠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
а) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been re	eceived.				
Attachmen	•	. , ,					
2) Notic	the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

This is in response to communication filed 04/20/04.

1. Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/20/04 has been entered.

2. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-23, 25-36 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landry (U.S 5956700) in view of Witt et al. (U.S 5812989) (Witt) and further in view of Mamone et al. (U.S 4958291) (Mamone).

Regarding claims 1 and 19, Landry discloses the system and method for processing account information contained in batch process files in an on-line like manner, said method comprising:

reading at least one batch file containing a plurality of records, each of said plurality of records an associated with one of a plurality of accounts (col. 11, lines 64 to col. 12, lines 19, Landry);

Art Unit: 2171

identifying which of said plurality of records relate to same ones of said plurality of accounts (col. 12, lines 40-65, Landry);

processing each of said records identified as relating to said selected one of said accounts prior to processing any of said records relating to any other of said plurality of accounts (col. 13, lines 35-60, Landry).

However, Landry didn't disclose: selecting one of said accounts in accordance with a control cycle and restricting access selected account. On the other hand, Witt discloses: selecting one of said accounts in accordance with a control cycle and restricting access selected account (col. 5, lines 42-59, Witt). Thus, at the time invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include step selecting one of said accounts in accordance with a control cycle and restricting access selected account in the system Landry as taught by Witt. The motivation being to enable the user opened the individual accounts contained the statement cycle by select from the displayed list of accounts of the activated statement cycle and provides an full access to all authorized statement cycles (col. 5, lines 42-59, Witt).

However, Landry/Witt didn't disclose: removing said restricted access to said selected account after all of said records identified as related to said selected account are processed (col. 5, lines 37-41, Mamone). Thus, at the time invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include step removing said restricted access in the combination system Landry/Witt as taught by Mamone. The motivation being to enable the users improve security level to protect the account information when transaction processing.

Regarding claims 2 and 20, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 19 above, respectively. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses:

Art Unit: 2171

further comprising the step of: reporting the results of processing of each selected accounts (col. 13, lines 61 to col. 14, lines 16, Landry).

Regarding claims 3 and 21, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 19 above, respectively. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses: further comprising the step of: storing the results of processing of each selected accounts (col. 14, lines 17-39, Landry).

Regarding claims 4 and 22, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 19 above, respectively. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses: wherein the step of identifying includes validating said records (col. 20, lines 19-39, Landry).

Regarding claims 5 and 23, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 19 above, respectively. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses: wherein the step of selecting further comprises; selecting preexisting ones of said accounts prior to new ones of said accounts (col. 20, lines 41-54, Landry).

Regarding claims 7 and 25, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 6 and 24 above, respectively. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses: wherein said control cycle is determined autonomously (col. 34, lines 29-55, Landry).

Regarding claims 8 and 26, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 7 and 25 above, respectively. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses: where said control cycle is selected from the group consisting of daily, day specific, weekly, monthly, quarterly, yearly (col. 34, lines 29-55, Landry).

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claims 9 and 27, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 6 and 24 above, respectively. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses: wherein said control cycle is determined manually (col. 34, lines 29-55, Landry).

Regarding claims 10 and 28, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 2 and 20 above, respectively. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses: wherein said step of reporting occurs at preselected intervals (col. 13, lines 61 to col. 14, lines 16, Landry).

Regarding claims 11 and 29, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 2 and 20 above, respectively. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses: wherein the step of reporting occurs upon detection of a predetermined event (col. 21, lines 1-25, Landry).

Regarding claim 12, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 3. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses: wherein said step of storing occurs at preselected intervals (col. 10, lines 63 to col. 11, lines3, Mamone).

Regarding claim 13, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 3 and 37 above, respectively. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses: wherein the step of storing occurs upon detection of a predetermined event (col. 1, lines 47-50, Mamone). Thus, at the time invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include step of storing occurs upon detection of a predetermined event in the combination system Landry/Witt as taught by Mamone. The motivation being to improve ultimate storing by reducing the time and controlling of any errors occur during the batch process.

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claims 14 and 32, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 19 above, respectively. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses: wherein the step of processing comprises debiting said selected ones of said accounts (col. 24, lines 41-62, Landry).

Regarding claims 15 and 33, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 19 above, respectively. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses: wherein the step of processing comprises reconciling said selected ones of said accounts (col. 27, lines 53-67, Landry).

Regarding claims 16 and 34, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 19 above, respectively. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses: wherein the step of processing comprises creating bills (col. 26, lines 36-56, Landry).

Regarding claims 17 and 35, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 19 above, respectively. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses: wherein the step of processing comprises determining disbursements (col. 24, lines 41-62, Landry).

Regarding claims 18 and 36, most of the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 19 above, respectively. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses: wherein the step of processing comprises generating notices (col. 21, lines 66 to col. 22, lines 16, Landry).

Regarding claim 30, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 21. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses: wherein said storing occurs at preselected intervals (col. 10, lines 63 to col. 11, lines3, Mamone).

Page 7 . Application/Control Number: 09/818,708

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claim 31, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 21. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses: wherein said storing occurs upon detection of a predetermined event (col. 14, lines 40-64, Landry).

Regarding claim 40, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 19. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone discloses: wherein said input means is selected from the group consisting of: keyboard entry, punch cards, paper tape, magnetic tape, optical media, electronic media, touch-screen entry, communication network (col. 17, lines 39-55, Landry).

Claims 37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landry (U.S 4. 5956700) in view of Witt et al. (U.S 5812989) (Witt) and further in view of Mamone et al. (U.S 4958291) (Mamone) and further in view of Pare, Jr. et al. (U.S 6154879) (Pare).

Regarding claim 37, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 19. However, Landry/Witt/Mamone didn't disclose: wherein said processor is further operable to: backup said selected ones of said accounts. On the other hand, Pare disclose: wherein said processor is further operable to: backup said selected ones of said accounts (col. 13, lines 25-30, Pare). Thus, at the time invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include step of backup said selected ones of said accounts in the combination system Landry/Witt/Mamone as taught by Pars. The motivation being to improve ultimate recovery by reducing the time and controlling of any errors occur during the batch process.

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claim 38, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 37. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone /Pare disclose: wherein said backup occurs at preselected intervals (col. 25, liens 25-50, Landry).

Regarding claim 39, most of the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 37. In addition, Landry/Witt/Mamone /Pare disclose: wherein the step of storing occurs upon detection of a predetermined event (col. 1, lines 47-50, Mamone).

5. Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kenna et al. (U.S 6108641). Integrated nested account financial system with medical savings system with medical saving subaccount.

Hutchings (U.S 5940813). Process facility management matrix and system and method for performing batch processing in an on-line environments.

6. Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cindy Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-4698. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this

Art Unit: 2171

Page 9

application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-7239 for regular communications and 703-746-7240 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Cindy Nguyen May 18, 2004

WAYNE AMSBURY
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER